Monitoring Data Record

| Project Title: R-2214A COE Action ID: 200330312 | |
|---|--|
| Stream Name: Mud Creek Tributary (Site 3) DWQ Number: 011715 | |
| County and other Location Information: Intersection of US 25 and Industrial Park Rd. in | n |
| Hendersonville, NC | |
| Date Construction Completed: March 2005 Monitoring Year: (4) of 5 | |
| Ecoregion: 8 digit HUC unit: 06010105 | |
| USGS Quad Name and Coordinates: | |
| Rosgen Classification: | |
| Length of Project: 464' Urban or Rural: Rural Watershed Size: | |
| Monitoring DATA collected by: M. Green and J. Young Date: 8/14/08 | |
| Applicant Information: | |
| Name: NCDOT Roadside Environmental Unit | |
| Address: 1425 Rock Quarry Rd. Raleigh, NC 27610 | |
| Telephone Number: (919) 861-3772 Email address: mlgreen@dot.state.nc.us | |
| Consultant Information: | |
| Name: | |
| Address: | |
| Telephone Number: Email address: | |
| Project Status: Complete | |
| | |
| | |
| Monitoring Level 1 requires completion of Section 1, Section 2 and Section 3 Permit States: NCDOT shall perform the following components of Level I monitoring to each year for the 5 year monitoring period (summer and winter): Reference photos, survival, and visual inspection of channel stability. If less than two bankfull events during the first 5 years, NCDOT shall continue monitoring until the second bankfull events documented. The bankfull events must occur during separate monitoring years. In the enthat the required bankfull events do not occur during the 5 year monitoring period, USACE, in consultation with resource agencies, may determine that further monitoring i required. | plant occur ent is event the |
| Section 1. PHOTO REFERENCE SITES (Monitoring at all levels must complete this section) | |
| Total number of reference photo locations at this site: 4 reference points - 2 photos at example 2 overview photos taken of site Dates reference photos have been taken at this site: 4/25/05, 3/20/06, 10/18/06, 2/27/07, 9/11/07, 2/12/08, 8/14/08 | <u>1ch</u> |
| | |
| Individual from whom additional photos can be obtained (name, address, phone): | |
| Other Information relative to site photo reference: A site map with photo point locations is attached this report. | |
| If required to complete Level 3 monitoring only stop here; otherwise, complete section 2 | |

Section 2. <u>PLANT SURVIVAL</u> Attach plan sheet indicating reference photos.

| Identify specific problem areas (missing, stressed, damaged or dead plantings): |
|---|
| Some beaver activity was noted during the last monitoring evaluation. DWQ requested that NCDOT treat the |
| Japanese Knotweed that was located onsite. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Estimated causes, and proposed/required remedial action: Since, the last evaluation the beavers and |
| dams have been removed from the stream relocation. A smaller dam was removed onsite and a larger dam was |
| removed downstream offsite. NCDOT has started treating the Japanese Knotweed and will continue to monitor the |
| Japanese Knotweed to see if further applications will be needed. |
| supunese fanotweed to see it further applications will be needed. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Bareroot seedlings noted on the streambank and in the floodplain |
| |
| consisted of black willow, silky dogwood, river birch, black cherry, white oak, white pine, black walnut, sycamore, |
| tag alder, and red maple. Herbaceous vegetation was also very thick along the streambank and in the floodplain and |
| consisted of species such as Juncus sp., lespedeza, multi-flora rose, goldenrod, woolgrass, jewelweed, Scirpus sp., |
| Japanese Knotweed, and various grasses. |

If required to complete Level 1 and Level 2 monitoring <u>only</u> stop here; otherwise, complete section 3.

Section 3. CHANNEL STABILITY

Visual Inspection: The entire stream project as well as each in-stream structure and bank stabilization/revetment structure must be evaluated and problems addressed.

Report on the visual inspection of channel stability. <u>Physical measurements of channel stability/morphology will not be required.</u> Include a discussion of any deviations from as-built and an evaluation of the significance of these deviations and whether they are indicative of a stabilizing or destabilizing situation.

The stream is stabilized for the Year 4 summer evaluation, except, for some localized bank scouring along the left bank downstream of photo 6. There is evidence that a bankfull event has occurred since the last monitoring evaluation. NCDOT will continue to monitor this stream relocation.

Date Station Station Station Station Station Inspected Number Number Number Number Number 8/14/08 184+00(Downstream of Photo 6) Structure Type Is water piping through or around structure? Head cut or down cut present? Bank or scour Bank erosion scouring present? noted on left bank Other problems noted?

NOTE: Attach separate narrative sheets to each monitoring report describing/discussing the overall monitoring results. Include the identification of specific problem areas/channel failures, estimated cause and proposed/required remedial action. This should include a brief discussion of any parameter that has changed significantly from asbuilt.

Mud Creek Tributary



Photo 1 (Upstream)



Photo 3 (Upstream)



Photo 5 (Upstream)



Photo 2 (Downstream)



Photo 4 (Downstream)



Photo 6 (Downstream)

Mud Creek Tributary



Photo 7 (Upstream)



Photo 9 (Overview looking upstream)



Bank scouring on left bank downstream of Photo 6



Photo 8 (Downstream)



Photo 10 (Overview looking downstream)

